

## Reviewer's Proforma (Original Article)

No: IMJ-F004

<b>Reference Number:</b>		<b>Date:</b>	
<b>Title of Article:</b>			

<i>Please write</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>	Additional Comments if any
<b>Abstract</b>		
Structured as per IMJ format (Objective, Study design, Place and duration, Methods, Results Conclusions, Key words)		
Word count adequate (maximum 250 words)		
Key words appropriate (minimum 6) and in order.		
No abbreviation should be used in abstract.		
<b>Introduction</b>		
Common and known facts should not be Included.		
Is it written within the scope of objectives?		
Weather hypothesis of any of research explained?		
Is Justification/Reason for study mentioned?		
Is objective given at the end?		
<b>Methodology</b>		
Study design mentioned		
Place/Exact Duration of study mentioned		
Sampling size/Techniques given and appropriate		
Inclusion/exclusion criteria mentioned		
Is Methodology elaborated and written in order.		
Type of data observed in/parameter studies mentioned in attached and mentioned clearly.		
Method used for statistical analysis/ mentioned of each parameter.		
<b>Results</b>		
Is tables/Graphs explained appropriately?		
Is table graph explained separately and in order?		
Is any irrelevant data beyond the scope of objective given?		
Is Figures/Percentages and total is accurate?		
Is table Captions appropriate and self-explanatory?		
Any irrelevant data/table need to be delete (Please mention)		

## Reviewer's Proforma (Original Article)

No: IMJ-F004

Is statistical analysis done?		
No. of tables/figure adequate (maximum 4 allowed)		
Is data Comprehensive and consistent with objective		
<b>Discussion</b>		
Is all parameters mentioned in objective are Discussed separately?		
Is each idea/parameter explained in separate paragraph?		
Is discussion is written in order not haphazard?		
Is comprehensive/ and covering all aspects of objectives?		
Is discussion direct towards conclusion?		
Is international literature compared?		
Is national literature compared?		
Is reference citing is in order?		
<b>Conclusion</b>		
Is consistent with objective?		
Is concise, not containing repetition of results unnecessary details and authors own ideas		
Is same as given in abstract?		
<b>References</b>		
Are cited in Vancouver style?		
Latest quoted (last 05 years up to 50%)		
National literature quoted		
Numbers adequate (15-40)		
<b>Illustrations/Figures</b>		
Captions adequate and self-explanatory.		
Relevant to the objective.		
<b>Language</b>		
Is standard abbreviations used?		
Is Grammar appropriate?		
Is sentences meaningful and in continuity?		
Is this any Spellings mistakes?		
Is there any repetition of words, sentence or ideas?		

**Reviewer's Proforma**  
*(Original Article)*

No: IMJ-F004

<b>Miscellaneous</b>				
Is conclusion written same as in abstract and text?				
Is objective is same in text and abstract?				
Is exact duration of study given in abstract and methodology?				
<b>Contribution to relevant field</b>	<b>No</b>	<b>Minor</b>	<b>Moderate</b>	<b>Major</b>
<b>Additional comments</b>				
<b>Final Opinion</b>	1. Accepted as such:	2. Rewrite with Minor changes:		
	3. Rewrite with Major changes:	4. Rejected:		
Reviewers name & designation:				
Date:		Signatures:		