Comparison of outcome of simple excision and primary closure with Limberg Flap procedure in Pilonidal disease
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ABSTRACT

Objective: To compare outcome of excision of pilonidal sinus tract and primary closure with limberg flap procedure done for pilonidal disease.

Study Design: Prospective Interventional Comparative Study

Place and Duration: Department of General Surgery, Unit I, Services Hospital, Lahore from 06th September 2015 to 05th July 2017.

Methodology: Sixty patients (30 in each group) were included and randomly divided into Group PE (simple excision with primary closure) and Group LF (Limberg flap) using non probability consecutive sampling. Outcome was compared in terms of mean operative time, mean post-operative pain at 24 hours (VAS score) and mean length of hospital stay.

Results: Patients were ranged between 18 to 80 years with mean age (years) of the patients was 37 ± 7.25 and 39 ± 10.43 in group PE and LF respectively. Male to female ratio was 5:1 (group PE) versus 9:1 (group LF). Mean operative time (minutes) was 30.3 ± 4.64 (group PE) versus 47.1 ± 5.34 (group LF) (P < 0.00001). Mean post-operative pain at 24 hours (VAS score) was 5.63 ± 0.72 (group PE) versus 2.63 ± 0.76 (group LF) (P < 0.00001). Mean length of hospital stay (days) was 5.83 ± 1.05 (group PE) and 2.43 ± 0.56 (group LF) (P<0.00001).

Conclusion: Limberg flap procedure is better than the simple excision with primary closure in terms of mean post-operative pain and mean length of hospital stay at the expense of relatively increased mean operative time.
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INTRODUCTION

Sacroccocygeal pilonidal sinus is a chronic and potentially frustrating disease prevalent among young adults with an estimated incidence of 26:100,00 population1. It is mainly seen between ages of 15 to 45 years, rarely in upper and lower extremes2, affecting males 2 to 4 times more than females3. It is common in post-pubertal years, when sex hormones act on the pilosebaceous glands and cause growth of the body hairs. Being considered as an acquired disease, presence of hair in intergluteal cleft is the most important predisposing factor. Retention of hair causes an inflammatory reaction, leading to chronic infection, formation of abscess and multiple sinus tracts or fistulas4.

Amongst the several surgical treatment options, the most elementary technique is excision and leaving the wound open for healing by secondary intention. Merit of this technique includes no wound tension which facilitates healing without recurrence if all sinus tracts are included in the excised portion. Contrarily, the wound is closed after excision i.e. primary closure5. Primary closure is accomplished either by midline closure techniques (wound lies within the natal cleft) or off-midline techniques with lateralization of the natal cleft, including advancement flaps (Karydakis procedure), V - Y advancement flaps and rotational flaps (Limberg flap, modified Limberg flap (MLF), gluteus maximus myo-cutaneous flap6). Proponents of primary closure claim faster tissue healing, less operative time and better cosmetic results7. However, midline
closure is linked with increased post-operative pain, longer hospital stays, and lengthy healing duration. The Limberg flap, a transposition flap recommended for primary pilonidal disease, bears less morbidity as compared to excision with primary closure. The gist of Limberg technique lies in its easy method and the natal cleft flattening when a well-vascularized pedicle is rotated and sutured without tension. This results in improved local hygiene, reduced intergluteal hair entrapment secondary to friction between the buttocks and subsequent diminution in the anaerobic bacterial content, moisture, maceration, and scarring in the natal cleft.

A study done by Abdelraheem et al displayed that hospital stay (mean days) and post-operative wound infection rates were less in Limberg flap procedure as compared to simple excision. However, recurrence rate in primary excision group was greater than the flap group. Additionally, duration of operation (minutes) was slightly more in Limberg flap. Elshazly et al did a comparative study of Limberg flap with simple excision and primary closure which shows that hospitalization time and post-operative pain are less in Limberg flap procedure as compared to simple excision. However, duration of operation (minutes) was little more in Limberg flap procedure.

The treatment of pilonidal sinus disease includes both surgical and non-surgical techniques but there is no consensus on the single best management plan for the pilonidal disease complex. At present Limberg flap is not a routinely practiced procedure worldwide; there is limited international and local data which can actually compare the efficacy of these two methods of surgery in terms of post-operative pain, hospital stay and duration of surgery. If this study proves the superior efficacy of Limberg procedure over simple excision with primary closure, it will help to improve the present knowledge and sublimate the future practices regarding the management of pilonidal disease. So, we have conducted this study with an objective to compare outcome of excision of pilonidal sinus tract and primary closure with limberg flap procedure done for pilonidal disease.

**METHODOLOGY**

This prospective interventional comparative study was conducted at Department of General Surgery, Unit I, Services Hospital, Lahore over a period of 22 months from 06th September 2015 to 05th July 2017. Sample size of 60 cases; 30 in each group was calculated with 90% power of test and 95% Confidence Interval (CI). Non-probability consecutive sampling was used.

Patients of both sexes, ranging between age 18-80 years with pilonidal sinus for the last 1 year were included in this study. Those with acute pilonidal abscess (assessed clinically), recurrent or complex pilonidal sinus (i.e. multiple fibrous tracks lined with granulation tissue or communicating with other organs), history of previous surgery in the respective area, inability to give informed consent, American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) class III and IV (on history, examination and laboratory findings e.g. deranged liver and renal function) and those with ischemic heart disease were excluded. The patients were allocated in two equal groups, using number tables (group PE and group LF). Informed consent was taken after ensuring confidentiality and expertise required for this procedure. Bias was controlled by assigning these operations to a single surgical team. Outcome was compared in terms of operative time (minutes), post-operative pain at 24 hours (VAS score) and length of hospital stay (days). A Performa was designed to collect all the data.

**Data Analysis:** The collected data was analysed by using SPSS version 17.0. Quantitative variables (age, operative time, post-operative pain and length of hospital stay) were measured in terms of mean ± standard deviation (SD) and qualitative variable (gender) was measured in terms of frequency and percentage. Independent sample T-test was used to compare quantitative variables. Stratification of data was done for gender and age. Post-stratification T-test was applied by taking P-value ≤ 0.05 as significant.

**RESULTS**

A total of 60 patients were included in the study and divided into equal groups (n=30). Similarity in patient characteristics of both groups showed that data was adequately randomized. Out of total 60 patients, 52 (87 %) were male while only 8 (13 %) were female (Table-I).

Mean operative time (minutes) was significantly shorter in Group PE (30.3 ± 4.64, 95% CI: 1.73) versus Group LF (47.1 ± 5.34, 95% CI: 1.99) (P < 0.00001). On the other hand, mean post-operative pain at 24 hours (VAS score) was significantly lower in Group PE i.e. 2.43 ± 0.56, 95% CI: 0.212, reaching less than half that of Group PE i.e. 5.83 ± 1.05, 95% CI: 0.39 with P < 0.00001 (Table-I).

**Table-I: Frequency of different Variables and outcome of Procedures in both groups (N=60)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Group PE (n=30)</th>
<th>Group LF (n=30)</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age(years)</td>
<td>37.10 ± 2.71</td>
<td>39.03 ± 3.89</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender ratio (M:F)</td>
<td>25:5</td>
<td>27:3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean operative time (minutes)</td>
<td>30.3 ± 4.64</td>
<td>47.1 ± 5.34</td>
<td>&lt; 0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean post-operative pain at 24 hours (VAS* score)</td>
<td>5.63 ± 0.72</td>
<td>2.63 ± 0.76</td>
<td>&lt; 0.00001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean length of hospital stay (days)</td>
<td>5.83 ± 1.05</td>
<td>2.43 ± 0.56</td>
<td>&lt; 0.00001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are presented as mean ± standard deviation. *VAS, visual analogue scale.

Age and gender stratification of mean post-operative pain at 24 hours (VAS score) and length of hospital stay (days) showed statistically significant decreased pain and length of hospital stay in LF group irrespective of age and gender.
and the patient’s family, owing to its incidence in the 2nd and 3rd decade of life. Early discharge from hospital will result in early return to work and ultimately less financial burden including hospital finances. According to the literature hospital stay is 1–5 days for the primary midline closure and 2–4 days for Limberg flap techniques, respectively. Study done by Karaca et al depicted a total hospital stay of 3.05 ± 3.42 days for the primary midline closure and 2.69 ± 2.32 days for the Limberg flap group. Our study showed the length of hospital stay was significantly shorter after Limberg flap procedure group (2.43 ± 0.568) which is approximately half the time needed after primary excision group (5.83 ± 1.05) (P < 0.00001). Gender distribution in our study showed that 13% of the total were females and 87% were male making it 08 females and 52 males, who were involved in the study. However, international data shows that males are affected 2.2-4 times more frequently than females. This difference can be rendered to the conservative sociocultural environment, which leads to a smaller number of women presenting with the disease.

Our study showed that in patients who underwent Limberg Flap procedure returned to work and normal activity earlier than those who underwent excision with primary closure and this is attributable to less postoperative pain and shorter hospital stay. Operative time was more in LF group than in PE group that can be improved with experience and performing the procedure more frequently in lieu with the work carried out by Meena et al that presented a less significant difference in the operative time periods of the two surgical procedures i.e. 36.3±3.24 minutes for Limberg flap procedure against 24.93±3.06 minutes for primary midline closure.

In summary, the review and analysis of our data and the literature shows that Limberg flap procedure is significantly better than simple excision with primary closure. It is a preferable technique in treating the pilonidal sinus in terms of reduced postoperative pain, shorter duration of hospital stay at the expense of relatively increased operative time that can be reduced by experience. Henceforth, larger scale studies would be required for further valuation.

**DISCUSSION**

Pilonidal sinus is blind ended epithelial tract lined by granulation tissue, present in the intergluteal cleft containing weak and broken hair. The etiology regarding the formation of pilonidal sinus is still not clear yet. Deep natal cleft, obesity, trauma, excessive sweating, bacterial contamination and a sedentary lifestyle play a definitive role in its development.

Principles of an ideal surgery for pilonidal sinus include removal of all the sinus tracts as well as the contributing factors that lead to the formation of pilonidal sinus. The success of the treatment lies in the minimal tissue injury, mild postoperative pain, short hospital stays, satisfactory cosmetic results, rapid resumption of daily activities, less cost, minimal morbidity, reduced recurrence rate and high patients’ satisfaction.

Study done by Kartal et al revealed a median operative period 54.31 ± 6.41 minutes for the Limberg flap versus 26.94 ± 5.79 minutes for the primary midline closure (P < 0.001). Karaca et al showed a median operative time of 27.26 ± 6.41 minutes for primary midline closure and 59.64 ± 7.76 minutes for Limberg flap (P<0.001). Our study showed that mean operating time was significantly shorter in group PE (30.3 ± 4.64 min) versus group LF (47.1 ± 5.34 min) (P < 0.00001), which is comparable to Kartal et al.

Post-operative pain is another important factor in deciding the procedure of choice in any surgical pathology in terms of more patient satisfaction, better healing of wound and less stress to the patient. Ahmad Alam El-Dein ML et al reported postoperative pain score at 24 hours of 4.2 ± 0.77 in the direct closure group and 3.8 ± 0.94 in the flap group (P = 0.2105). Our study displayed a relatively similar result with mean postoperative pain scores that were significantly lower in Limberg flap group (2.63±0.76) compared to primary excision (5.63 ± 0.72) in the first 24 hours (P < 0.00001).

Length of hospital stay is an important factor in the treatment of pilonidal sinus in terms of financial burden on the health system and the patient’s family, owing to its incidence in the 2nd and 3rd decade of life. Early discharge from hospital will result in early return to work and ultimately less financial burden including hospital finances. According to the literature hospital stay is 1–5 days for the primary midline closure and 2–4 days for Limberg flap techniques, respectively. Study done by Karaca et al depicted a total hospital stay of 3.05 ± 3.42 days for the primary midline closure and 2.69 ± 2.32 days for the Limberg flap group. Our study showed the length of hospital stay was significantly shorter after Limberg flap procedure group (2.43 ± 0.568) which is approximately half the time needed after primary excision group (5.83 ± 1.05) (P < 0.00001). Gender distribution in our study showed that 13% of the total were females and 87% were male making it 08 females and 52 males, who were involved in the study. However, international data shows that males are affected 2.2-4 times more frequently than females. This difference can be rendered to the conservative sociocultural environment, which leads to a smaller number of women presenting with the disease.

Our study showed that in patients who underwent Limberg Flap procedure returned to work and normal activity earlier than those who underwent excision with primary closure and this is attributable to less postoperative pain and shorter hospital stay. Operative time was more in LF group than in PE group that can be improved with experience and performing the procedure more frequently in lieu with the work carried out by Meena et al that presented a less significant difference in the operative time periods of the two surgical procedures i.e. 36.3±3.24 minutes for Limberg flap procedure against 24.93±3.06 minutes for primary midline closure.

In summary, the review and analysis of our data and the literature shows that Limberg flap procedure is significantly better than simple excision with primary closure. It is a preferable technique in treating the pilonidal sinus in terms of reduced postoperative pain, shorter duration of hospital stay at the expense of relatively increased operative time that can be reduced by experience. Henceforth, larger scale studies would be required for further valuation.

| Table-II: Age and Gender Stratification of Clinical Outcome (N=60) |
|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Clinical Outcome | Mean post-operative pain at 24 hours (VAS Score) | Mean length of hospital stay (days) | Mean operative time (minutes) |
| Variable         | Group | N  | Mean | SD   | p-value | N  | Mean | SD   | p-value | N  | Mean | SD   | p-value |
| Age              |       |    |      |      |         |    |      |      |         |    |      |      |         |
|                  | <35 years | PE | 12  | 5.33 | 0.355 | <0.00001 | 11 | 5.82 | 0.87 | <0.00001 | 12 | 28.92 | 2.811 | <0.00001 |
|                  |       | LF | 10  | 2.70 | 0.335 | <0.00001 | 09 | 2.22 | 0.44 | <0.00001 | 09 | 49.44 | 5.812 | <0.00001 |
|                  | >35 years | PE | 18  | 5.67 | 0.181 | <0.00001 | 19 | 5.84 | 1.17 | <0.00001 | 18 | 31.22 | 5.418 | <0.00001 |
|                  |       | LF | 20  | 2.75 | 0.176 | <0.00001 | 21 | 2.52 | 0.60 | <0.00001 | 21 | 46.00 | 4.827 | <0.00001 |
| Gender           |       |    |      |      |         |    |      |      |         |    |      |      |         |
|                  | Female | PE | 4   | 5.75 | 0.500 | <0.00001 | 4  | 6.25 | 0.96 | <0.00001 | 4  | 29   | 4.24  | <0.00001 |
|                  |       | LF | 5   | 2.40 | 1.14  | <0.00001 | 5  | 2.20 | 0.45 | <0.00001 | 5  | 45   | 4.12  | <0.00001 |
|                  | Male   | PE | 26  | 5.42 | 1.137 | <0.00001 | 25 | 5.80 | 1.08 | <0.00001 | 26 | 30.50 | 4.74  | <0.00001 |
|                  |       | LF | 25  | 2.88 | 0.927 | <0.00001 | 26 | 2.58 | 0.76 | <0.00001 | 25 | 47.44 | 5.47  | <0.00001 |

Values are presented as mean with standard deviation.
CONCLUSION

Limberg flap procedure is better than the simple excision with primary closure in terms of mean post-operative pain and mean length of hospital stay at the expense of relatively increased mean operative time.
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